Enumerability

Lecture 32 Section 11.1

Robb T. Koether

Hampden-Sydney College

Fri, Nov 11, 2016

э

DQC

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

2 A Recursively Enumerable, but Non-Recursive, Language

3 Enumerability





Outline

A Non-Recursively Enumerable Language

2 A Recursively Enumerable, but Non-Recursive, Language

3 Enumerability

4 Collected

5 Assignment

э

< ロト < 同ト < ヨト < ヨト

The Language Hierarchy

• Recall the language hierarchy.



э

DQC

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

The Language Hierarchy

• Recall the language hierarchy.



• Where do regular and context-free languages fit in this drawing?

< ロト < 同ト < ヨト < ヨト

• We will construct a recursively enumerable language *L* whose complement *L* is not recursively enumerable.

- We will construct a recursively enumerable language *L* whose complement *L* is not recursively enumerable.
- Let $\Sigma = \{\mathbf{a}\}.$

∃ ► < ∃ ►</p>

- We will construct a recursively enumerable language *L* whose complement *L* is not recursively enumerable.
- Let $\Sigma = \{\mathbf{a}\}$.
- Then $\Sigma^* = \{\lambda, \boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{a}^2, \boldsymbol{a}^3, \ldots\}.$

∃ ► < ∃ ►</p>

- We will construct a recursively enumerable language *L* whose complement \overline{L} is not recursively enumerable.
- Let $\Sigma = \{\mathbf{a}\}$.
- Then $\Sigma^* = \{\lambda, \boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{a}^2, \boldsymbol{a}^3, \ldots\}.$
- Let M₁, M₂, M₃,... be an enumeration of all Turing machines over Σ.

- We will construct a recursively enumerable language *L* whose complement \overline{L} is not recursively enumerable.
- Let $\Sigma = \{\mathbf{a}\}$.
- Then $\Sigma^* = \{\lambda, \boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{a}^2, \boldsymbol{a}^3, \ldots\}.$
- Let M₁, M₂, M₃,... be an enumeration of all Turing machines over Σ.
- Consider the strings \mathbf{a}^i , for $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

- We will construct a recursively enumerable language *L* whose complement \overline{L} is not recursively enumerable.
- Let $\Sigma = \{\mathbf{a}\}$.
- Then $\Sigma^* = \{\lambda, \boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{a}^2, \boldsymbol{a}^3, \ldots\}.$
- Let M₁, M₂, M₃,... be an enumeration of all Turing machines over Σ.
- Consider the strings \mathbf{a}^i , for $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$
- Define a language *L* as

$$L = \{\mathbf{a}^i \mid \mathbf{a}^i \in L(M_i)\}.$$

• Then

$$\overline{L} = \{\mathbf{a}^i \mid \mathbf{a}^i \notin L(M_i)\}.$$

æ

DQC

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Then

$$\overline{L} = \{\mathbf{a}^i \mid \mathbf{a}^i \notin L(M_i)\}.$$

• Suppose that \overline{L} is recursively enumerable.

э

∃ ► < ∃ ►</p>

Then

$$\overline{L} = \{\mathbf{a}^i \mid \mathbf{a}^i \notin L(M_i)\}.$$

- Suppose that \overline{L} is recursively enumerable.
- Then \overline{L} is accepted by some Turing machine M_k .

4 D b 4 A b

Then

$$\overline{L} = \{\mathbf{a}^i \mid \mathbf{a}^i \notin L(M_i)\}.$$

- Suppose that \overline{L} is recursively enumerable.
- Then \overline{L} is accepted by some Turing machine M_k .
- Consider the string **a**^k.

Then

$$\overline{L} = \{\mathbf{a}^i \mid \mathbf{a}^i \notin L(M_i)\}.$$

- Suppose that \overline{L} is recursively enumerable.
- Then \overline{L} is accepted by some Turing machine M_k .
- Consider the string **a**^k.
- Either $\mathbf{a}^k \in \overline{L}$ or $\mathbf{a}^k \notin \overline{L}$.

• Suppose that $\mathbf{a}^k \in \overline{L}$.

э

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

- Suppose that $\mathbf{a}^k \in \overline{L}$.
- Then \mathbf{a}^k is accepted by M_k . That is, $\mathbf{a}^k \in L(M_k)$.

I > <
 I >
 I

-

- Suppose that $\mathbf{a}^k \in \overline{L}$.
- Then \mathbf{a}^k is accepted by M_k . That is, $\mathbf{a}^k \in L(M_k)$.
- But that implies that a^k ∈ L, which implies that a^k ∉ L, a contradiction.

- Suppose that $\mathbf{a}^k \in \overline{L}$.
- Then \mathbf{a}^k is accepted by M_k . That is, $\mathbf{a}^k \in L(M_k)$.
- But that implies that a^k ∈ L, which implies that a^k ∉ L, a contradiction.
- So it must be the case that $\mathbf{a}^k \notin \overline{L}$.

- Suppose that $\mathbf{a}^k \in \overline{L}$.
- Then \mathbf{a}^k is accepted by M_k . That is, $\mathbf{a}^k \in L(M_k)$.
- But that implies that a^k ∈ L, which implies that a^k ∉ L, a contradiction.
- So it must be the case that $\mathbf{a}^k \notin \overline{L}$.
- Then $\mathbf{a}^k \in L$.

- Suppose that $\mathbf{a}^k \in \overline{L}$.
- Then \mathbf{a}^k is accepted by M_k . That is, $\mathbf{a}^k \in L(M_k)$.
- But that implies that a^k ∈ L, which implies that a^k ∉ L, a contradiction.
- So it must be the case that $\mathbf{a}^k \notin \overline{L}$.
- Then $\mathbf{a}^k \in L$.
- This implies that a^k ∈ L(M_k), which implies that a^k ∈ L, again a contradiction.

- Suppose that $\mathbf{a}^k \in \overline{L}$.
- Then \mathbf{a}^k is accepted by M_k . That is, $\mathbf{a}^k \in L(M_k)$.
- But that implies that a^k ∈ L, which implies that a^k ∉ L, a contradiction.
- So it must be the case that $\mathbf{a}^k \notin \overline{L}$.
- Then $\mathbf{a}^k \in L$.
- This implies that a^k ∈ L(M_k), which implies that a^k ∈ L, again a contradiction.
- The conclusion must be that \overline{L} is not accepted by any Turing machine, i.e., \overline{L} is not recursively enumerable.

• Is L recursively enumerable?

э

∃ ► < ∃ ►</p>

- Is *L* recursively enumerable?
- Yes, but the argument requires a bit of hand-waving.

∃ ⊳

- Is *L* recursively enumerable?
- Yes, but the argument requires a bit of hand-waving.
- We build a Turing machine *M* that does the following.

4 D b 4 A b

- Is L recursively enumerable?
- Yes, but the argument requires a bit of hand-waving.
- We build a Turing machine *M* that does the following.
 - It reads **a**ⁱ.

- Is *L* recursively enumerable?
- Yes, but the argument requires a bit of hand-waving.
- We build a Turing machine *M* that does the following.
 - It reads **a**ⁱ.
 - It sends the integer *i* to a "converter" that uses *i* to build a representation of the Turing machine *M_i*.

- Is *L* recursively enumerable?
- Yes, but the argument requires a bit of hand-waving.
- We build a Turing machine *M* that does the following.
 - It reads **a**ⁱ.
 - It sends the integer *i* to a "converter" that uses *i* to build a representation of the Turing machine *M_i*.
 - Both M_i and \mathbf{a}^i are fed into the universal Turing machine U.

- Is *L* recursively enumerable?
- Yes, but the argument requires a bit of hand-waving.
- We build a Turing machine *M* that does the following.
 - It reads **a**ⁱ.
 - It sends the integer *i* to a "converter" that uses *i* to build a representation of the Turing machine *M_i*.
 - Both M_i and \mathbf{a}^i are fed into the universal Turing machine U.
 - The result, if any, is reported.

A B < A B <</p>

- Is L recursively enumerable?
- Yes, but the argument requires a bit of hand-waving.
- We build a Turing machine *M* that does the following.
 - It reads **a**ⁱ.
 - It sends the integer *i* to a "converter" that uses *i* to build a representation of the Turing machine *M_i*.
 - Both *M_i* and **a**^{*i*} are fed into the universal Turing machine *U*.
 - The result, if any, is reported.

• If $\mathbf{a}^i \in L(M_i)$, i.e., if $\mathbf{a}^i \in L$, then *U*, and therefore *M*, will accept (M_i, \mathbf{a}^i) .

A B < A B <</p>



э

Sac

ヨト・モヨト

A B + A
 B + A
 B
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A



3 Enumerability



5 Assignment

< ロト < 同ト < ヨト < ヨト

Theorem

If a language is and its complement are both recursively enumerable, then they are both recursive.

(B)

Proof.

• Let *L* be a recursively enumerable language whose complement *L* is also recursively enumerable.

∃ ► < ∃ ►</p>

I > <
 I >
 I

Proof.

- Let *L* be a recursively enumerable language whose complement *L* is also recursively enumerable.
- Then L is accepted by some Turing machine M₁ and L is accepted by some Turing machine M₂.

∃ ► < ∃ ►</p>

Proof.

- Let L be a recursively enumerable language whose complement L
 is also recursively enumerable.
- Then L is accepted by some Turing machine M₁ and L is accepted by some Turing machine M₂.
- Then we build a Turing machine M that does the following.

∃ ► < ∃ ►</p>

Proof.

- Let *L* be a recursively enumerable language whose complement *L* is also recursively enumerable.
- Then L is accepted by some Turing machine M₁ and L is accepted by some Turing machine M₂.
- Then we build a Turing machine *M* that does the following.
 - Read a word w.

∃ ► < ∃ ►</p>

Proof.

- Let *L* be a recursively enumerable language whose complement *L* is also recursively enumerable.
- Then L is accepted by some Turing machine M₁ and L is accepted by some Turing machine M₂.
- Then we build a Turing machine M that does the following.
 - Read a word w.
 - Send copies of w to M_1 and M_2 .

∃ ► < ∃ ►</p>

Proof.

- Let *L* be a recursively enumerable language whose complement *L* is also recursively enumerable.
- Then L is accepted by some Turing machine M₁ and L is accepted by some Turing machine M₂.
- Then we build a Turing machine M that does the following.
 - Read a word w.
 - Send copies of w to M_1 and M_2 .
 - If M₁ accepts w, report acceptance.

∃ ► < ∃ ►</p>

Proof.

- Let *L* be a recursively enumerable language whose complement *L* is also recursively enumerable.
- Then L is accepted by some Turing machine M₁ and L is accepted by some Turing machine M₂.
- Then we build a Turing machine *M* that does the following.
 - Read a word w.
 - Send copies of w to M_1 and M_2 .
 - If M₁ accepts w, report acceptance.
 - If M₂ accepts w, report rejection.

∃ ► < ∃ ►</p>



3

590

イロト イロト イヨト イヨト

Proof.

- The Turing machine *M* decides *L*.
- By reversing the outputs "yes" and "no," we get a Turing machine that decides *L*.

• Therefore, L and \overline{L} are recursive.

∃ ► < ∃ ►</p>



3 Enumerability



5 Assignment

э

Sac

< ロト < 同ト < ヨト < ヨト

Theorem

A language L is enumerable in canonical order if and only if L is recursive.

э

Sac

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Theorem

A language L is enumerable (in some order) if and only if L is recursively enumerable.

Corollary

If L is recursively enumerable, but not recursive, then L is enumerable, but L cannot be enumerated in any standard order.

∃ ► < ∃ ►</p>



3 Enumerability



5 Assignment

3

Sac

< ロト < 同ト < ヨト < ヨト

Collected on Monday, Nov. 11

- Section 10.3 Exercises 2a, 3.
- Section 10.4 Exercises 5, 8.
- Section 11.1 Exercise 3.

э

★ ∃ > < ∃ >

Image: A marked and A marked



3 Enumerability





3

< ロト < 同ト < ヨト < ヨト

Homework

• Section 11.1 Exercises 14, 16, 17, 19.

Robb T. Koether (Hampden-Sydney College)

Enumerability

Fri, Nov 11, 2016 21 / 21

3

DQC

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト